



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,054	11/03/2003	Louis A. Lippincott	ITL.1709US (P17678)	5501
21906	7590	12/16/2010	EXAMINER	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			THOMAS, ERIC M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,054	LIPPINCOTT, LOUIS A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric M. Thomas	3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2010.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 25-27 and 29-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 25-27 and 29-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/10</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the arguments filed on 5/17/10, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. As set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) File a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 25 – 27, and 29 – 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rutkowski (U.S. 5,806,849).**

Regarding claims 25 and 30, Rutkowski discloses a method and apparatus of providing at least one media center to provide electronic game data for one game to at least two game players of said game who play the game at the same time in concert, (**"an unlimited number of controllers may be interfaced with the game unit to allow an unlimited number of players to play a game at the same time"**, col. 5, lines 53 - 55 and fig. 1), separating the game data so that separate game images may be provided for each of the game players who play the same game and such that the game images for each of the players may be different in at least some respects, (**"allows an unlimited number of controllers to be used with the same console"**, col. 2, lines 38 - 39), receiving game control commands from said players using separate wireless controllers and identifying which commands originate with each of said game players by appending tags to said game control commands so that a command from one player is distinguished by said media player from a game control command received from the other player, (**"the controllers of the system are preferably wireless controllers which send control information to the console in the form of radio frequency signals"**, "each controller transmits on a different frequency so that console can determine which controller is sending which control signals", col. 3, lines 18 - 25).

Regarding claims 26 and 31, Rutkowski discloses including associating game data with tags, each tag indicative of a different player, (**"each controller transmits on a different frequency so that console can determine which controller is sending which control signals"**, col. 3, lines 18 - 25).

Regarding claims 27, 32, and 33, Rutkowski discloses providing controls which each game player may utilize to provide input commands to the media center, (**"controller preferably first comprises a user interface for accepting user input, col. 3, lines 52 - 54."**)

Regarding claims 29 and 34, Rutkowski discloses enabling a controller for each player to wirelessly use a different frequency to wirelessly communicate with said media center and enabling said media center to provide game data to each controller using a different and distinct frequency for each game controller, (**"the controllers of the system are preferably wireless controllers which send control information to the console in the form of radio frequency signals"**, **"each controller transmits on a different frequency so that console can determine which controller is sending which control signals"**, **col. 3, lines 18 - 25**).

Regarding claim 35, Rutkowski discloses multiplexing video game data with a particular tag to a particular buffer based on the detected tag, (**"by multiplexing lines, in the manner described, an unlimited number of controllers may be interfaced with the game unit to allow an unlimited number of players to play a game at the same time"**, **col. 5, lines 53 - 55 and fig. 1**).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25 – 27 and 29 - 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7a.m. - 3p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Lewis can be reached on (571) 272-7673. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric M. Thomas/
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/David L Lewis/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714